

#2

**Marvin:** Study area is too large. Develop only along existing highways, not disturbing the environment. Add affordable housing. Stop urban sprawl.

#3

**Dean, Emma:** Choose Alt 2.

**Dean, Jim:** Choose Alt 2.

**Dean, Sarah and Edward:** Choose Alt 2, less people to conflict with farming practices.

**Wood:** Choose Alt 2. Create another study area along Meridian Rd, north of town, for larger lots at reasonable prices.

**Magoon:** The greenline should be moved to follow Mud Creek. Allow 1/3-, 1/2- or 1-acre lots. Prefer to remain unincorporated with a country feel.

**Bell Muir Petition Supporters:** Bell Muir should no longer be limited by the greenline or designated as agriculture. The area is no longer viable as agricultural land and should be developed with medium and high density housing and commercial development.

#4

**Miller:** The study area boundaries do not make sense; the study area is too small and it does not include the Forest Ranch Community Center. Future growth boundaries should consider slope, CDF's fire management plans and areas of forest productivity.

**Faulkner:** Choose Alt 2, limited growth, protect the forested areas. Keep it rural. Only develop infill sites.

#6

**Short:** Choose Alt 2 for open space, create a scenic highway.

**Philips:** Choose Alt 2, no water, bad sewer and open space is needed.

**Hall-Isom Investments:** Develop this study area with clustered homes, sustainable communities, sharing services, utilities and social lives, surrounded by open space.

**Marvin:** Study area is too large. Develop only along existing highways, not disturbing the environment. Add affordable housing. Stop urban sprawl.

**Speer:** Protect blue oak woodlands and maintain agriculture or open space designations.

#9

**Sneed:** Develop the Schuster proposal. Only build adjacent to existing development.

**Lando:** Offer a good combination of open space and housing close to the urban area.

**McFarren, Kathleen:** Choose Alt 1, maintain rural environment. Don't build high density residential areas. Residential development should go on 20<sup>th</sup> Street and keep Honey Run Rd. in Open Space.

**McFarren, Scott:** Coordinate watershed runoff with Parrot Ranch diversion off Butte Creek. Too much traffic on the Skyway and Honey Run Rd.; enlarge intersections. To address noise from the mill and traffic, plant berms along roads and reduce traffic speeds. Light pollution from billboards and Skyway Golf. Visual pollution from billboards.

**Richards:** Create an eco-living prototype village with 50% of energy derived from solar. Maintain views of the foothills.

**Brouhard:** This area is not a vernal pool critical habitat, it is within the vernal pool recovery area. This area does have blue oak and mixed oak woodlands but is not part of the valley oak riparian area. There are no occurrences of rare plants and animals. The criteria in the Alternatives Evaluation Report are anti-development. We should discuss how to increase the grade for this area so that it is considered developable.

**Speer:** Protect blue oak woodlands and maintain agriculture or open space designations. Remove study area since it would need to be annexed by City of Chico for sewer service due to lava cap.

**Galloway:** The biological resources analysis in the Alternatives Evaluation Report misrepresented the presence of biological resources in this study area. Galloway's studies indicate that there are less special status species occurrences, sensitive habitat and vernal pools than indicated in the Report. The Report also ignored a USFWS critical habitat distinction.

**#14**

**Laslo:** Choose Alt 1, there is no water to supply development.

**Philips:** Choose Alt 1, no water.

**Short:** Choose Alt 1, preserve ag and cultural resources, no industrial. Insufficient studies on recharge areas.

**Marvin:** Study area is too large. Develop only along existing highways, not disturbing the environment. Include a water element. Add affordable housing. Stop urban sprawl.

**Palmerlee:** Choose Alt 1, keep in open space.

**Paul:** Keep graduates in Butte County, look at sustainability, economics, growth, education, population dynamics.

**Speer:** Protect blue oak woodlands and maintain agriculture or open space designations.

**#15**

**Laslo:** Choose Alt 1, there is no water to supply development.

**Philips:** Choose Alt 1, no water.

**Anonymous:** Produce an Alternative 4 with 300- to 400-acre business/research park.

**Short:** Choose Alt 1, preserve ag and cultural resources, no industrial. Insufficient studies on recharge areas.

**Marvin:** Study area is too large. Develop only along existing highways, not disturbing the environment. Add affordable housing. Stop urban sprawl.

**Palmerlee:** Choose Alt 1, keep in open space.

**Paul:** Keep graduates in Butte County, look at sustainability, economics, growth, education, population dynamics.

**Speer:** Protect blue oak woodlands and maintain agriculture or open space designations.

**#16**

**Palmerlee:** Choose Alt 1, remain in ag. Concentrate growth to urban core.

**#17**

**Philips:** Choose Alt 1, no water or sewer. Durham doesn't support any commercial besides antique stores.

**Jones:** Opposed to 5-acre parcels. Alts 1 and 2 will negatively affect the school. Questions about prioritizing water users. Support for LDR (1 to 3 du/ac).

**#18**

**Wood:** Choose more density than Alt 3 but less density than Alt 2.

**Philips:** Choose Alt 1, no water, no sewer, bad traffic, protect ag.

**#19**

**Philips:** Choose Alt 1, no water and no possibility of septic tanks.

**Short:** Choose Alt 1. Poor market viability, public services and no water.

**Garland** (from submittal titled "RESPONSE TO: 3/13/08 Butte County 2030 General Plan Alternatives Evaluation Report for Study Area 19: Durham Pentz/Highway 99"):

Area should be designated Industrial/Open Space. It is a good fit for job-creating industrial uses because it is close to major roadways, adjacent to existing successful industrial uses, would not impact any residential areas, and the land is only marginally useful for agriculture. Known wetlands and other environmental constraints on the site could be avoided, or impacts could be mitigated. Industrial development would build its own water system drawing on the aquifer below the site. Development would also build its own sewer system, consistent with the County sanitary sewer district currently being considered.