

AREA 10

PREVIOUSLY, A CASE WAS MADE ~~THAT~~ THAT THE PROJECT MAY WELL BE THE ONLY VIABLE DEVELOPMENT PORTION OF AREA 10. THE C.A.C. AGREED AND SPLIT ON THE APPROPRIATENESS OF THE PROJECT

YOU HAVE ^{ALSO} HEARD A GREAT MANY OF THE PUBLIC WHO VALUE THE ~~AMOUNT~~ AMENITY THE GOLF COURSE PROVIDES, AND YOU HAVE HEARD FROM THE OWNER AND OPERATOR THAT A DEVELOPMENT IS CRITICAL TO THE GOLF COURSE VIABILITY.

ENV. WE FEEL THAT IN AN ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS OF THE DEVELOPABLE PORTIONS OF AREA 10, THE PROJECT CONSTRAINTS ARE INSIGNIFICANT. THE PROJECT WILL STEP LIGHTLY ON THE SITE AND WILL OF COURSE NEED FULL REVIEW WITH THE PROJECT APPLICATION.

WE ARE ASKING THAT YOU FIND OUR PROPOSAL FOR THE DEVELOPMENT IN AREA 10 IS APPROPRIATE AND ALLOW A DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION TO BE BROUGHT FORWARD

BUTTE
COUNTY
MAY 30 2008
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICES

JIM STAVELAND
111 MISSION RANCH BLVD
CHICO, CA